UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

VS.

JAN ALAN LINDSEY,
Defendant.

JUDGE JAMES C. MAHAN'S ORDER REGARDING TRIAL

2:09-cr-0077-JCM-PAL

- Attached hereto is a list of cases that are presently scheduled for trial before the Honorable
 James C. Mahan, United States District Judge, at Las Vegas, Nevada, commencing on
 MONDAY the 3rd day of AUGUST 2009, at 9:00 a.m. This is a two-week trial stack.
- 2. Counsel for all parties in the civil and criminal cases shall appear in Courtroom **6A** on **WEDNESDAY**, the **29**th **day of JULY 2009**, at **1:30 p.m.** for calendar call. Unless a party in a civil case or a defendant in a criminal case is appearing pro se, the individual parties in civil cases and the defendants in criminal cases will not be required to appear for calendar call unless the court directs otherwise.
- 3. Counsel or their clients will be excused from calendar call if prior to the scheduled calendar call settlement papers have been filed in a civil case or a defendant's plea of guilty or nolo contendere has been accepted in a criminal case.
- 4. **At calendar call**, all cases that remain to be tried will be ranked in order of trial. Thereafter, the court will not grant a continuance to any party absent a showing of good cause. Unless the court otherwise directs, the cases will be tried one after the other on **TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS'** notice from the clerk.

- 5. CHANGE OF PLEA HEARINGS. Change of plea hearings will be set on the first available date on the court's calendar. It shall be the joint responsibility of counsel for the United States and for defendants(s) to ensure that the original and two copies of the plea agreement (or a plea memorandum in cases of no plea agreement), together with a copy of the indictment, are delivered to David Oakes, deputy clerk. Change of plea hearings will not be heard at calendar call, and will not be set until a signed courtesy copy of the plea agreement (or a plea memorandum) is either delivered directly to David Oakes, deputy clerk and or faxed to chambers. It shall further be the responsibility of counsel for the United States to ensure that any necessary produce orders, or writs of habeas corpus ad prosequendum are timely delivered to the United States marshal to ensure the presence of all in-custody defendants for a change of plea, and to ensure proper notification for the attendance of any court interpreter which may be required for the particular case.
- 6. **STATUS HEARING**. The court may conduct a status conference prior to the scheduled calendar call. If the court is satisfied during the status conference that the case is ready for trial, the court may vacate the calendar call.
- 7. **WITNESSES**. Counsel shall immediately subpoena all witnesses for the time and trial date as listed above. Inasmuch as the cases will be tried in a trailing fashion, the subpoenas should contain a special instruction from counsel directing witnesses to contact the office of counsel for further instructions prior to appearing for trial. Witnesses are not required to be present at the calendar call but must appear as subpoenaed.
- 8. **EXHIBITS.** At calendar call counsel shall supply Judge Mahan's courtroom deputy clerk with the **original and one copy** of a complete exhibit list of all exhibits that are intended to be used during the trial. At the same time, counsel shall serve upon opposing counsel a copy of the same. **Numerals** shall be used to identify all exhibits. **Plaintiffs' exhibits** shall be marked <u>1</u> through 500. **Defendants' exhibits** shall be marked <u>501 through 1000.</u> Exhibits that are on the same subject matter may be marked as a series: that is, Plaintiff's 1-A, 1-B, etc., and Defendant's 501-A, 501-B, etc. The exhibits shall be listed on the form to be provided by the clerk and

10 11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 counsel and any parties appearing pro se are required to jointly file one set of agreed-upon jury 28

pursuant to the instructions as shall be supplied by the clerk. Counsel may use computer-generated exhibit lists so long as they conform to the requirements of the form that is provided by the clerk. Counsel shall retain possession of their exhibits until such time as they are identified in open court; afterward, the exhibits shall remain in the custody of the clerk, unless otherwise ordered.

- 9. MARKING EXHIBITS. During preparations for trial, counsel for all parties shall meet, confer, pre mark and exchange all trial exhibits at calendar call.
- 10. WITNESS LISTS: At calendar call, counsel and any party appearing pro se, shall file with the courtroom deputy clerk a list of witnesses expected to be called on behalf of each party for use by the court during jury selection.
- 11. STATEMENT OF THE CASE: At calendar call, counsel and any party appearing pro se shall file with the courtroom deputy clerk a brief statement of the case, no longer than one-half page, stating the nature of the claims and offenses, to be read to prospective jurors at the time of jury selection. In a criminal case, a copy of the Indictment, indicating which portions should be read to the jury, will satisfy this requirement.
- 12. **JURY INSTRUCTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES**. Counsel are to comply with the "Order Regarding Pretrial Procedure." At calendar call, counsel are required to jointly file one set of agreed-upon proposed jury instructions to the Court both in document form and on computer disk in WordPerfect format. The parties are further advised that the undersigned district judge has developed his own "stock" or "general" jury instructions drawn principally from the Manual of Model Criminal Jury Instructions for the Ninth Circuit.
- 13. GOVERNMENT'S TRIAL MEMORANDUM. In all criminal cases, Plaintiff United States shall file its original government's trial memorandum under seal at calendar call and shall also provide a reading copy for the court. The government's trial memorandum shall be served on counsel for defendant on the date trial commences.

14. JURY INSTRUCTIONS IN CIVIL CASES. In all civil cases to be tried before a jury,

instructions and form of a verdict at calendar call both in document form and on computer disk in WordPerfect format. To meet this requirement, the parties are required to serve their proposed jury instructions upon each other at least two weeks prior to trial and file those with the court on the date indicated in the pretrial order, and to thereafter confer to arrive at a single set of jointly agreed upon jury instructions. To the extent the parties are unable to agree as to the form of any particular instruction(s), each party shall also file at calendar call the jury instruction(s) which are not agreed upon together with the respective arguments and authorities of the parties in support thereof.

The parties are further advised that the undersigned district judge has developed his own "stock" or "general" jury instructions drawn principally from the **Manual of Model Civil Jury Instructions for the Ninth Circuit.** Therefore, the efforts of the parties in preparing the jury instructions in compliance with this order should be focused on developing special jury instructions which relate to the particular claims and defenses at issue as well as those relating to the damages and any special evidentiary matters pertinent to the case.

All proposed jury instructions submitted by the parties should be concise, understandable, and neutral statements of law. Argumentative jury instructions are improper, will not be given, and should not be submitted.

15. TRIAL BRIEFS, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF

LAW. To the extent they have not already done so in accordance with the court's joint pretrial order, counsel for all parties, and any party appearing pro se, shall file an original and two copies of a trial brief in all civil cases at **calendar call**. Additionally, in all civil cases to be tried before the court sitting without a jury, the parties shall file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law at **calendar call**. Counsel wishing to utilize the court's evidence display equipment must contact the courtroom deputy to determine its availability and to arrange for training.

16. **TRIAL JUDGE.** Although the cases that are listed on the attached trial calendar are assigned to Judge Mahan, the cases may proceed to trial before another Nevada district judge or a visiting district judge.

17. **CONSENT TO TRIAL BEFORE A MAGISTRATE JUDGE**. Counsel and parties in civil actions are reminded of their right to consent to disposition before a United States magistrate judge pursuant to Title 28, Section 636(c)(2) of the United States Code. The right to proceed before a magistrate judge in a civil case includes those cases that will be tried before a jury as well as those cases to be tried before the court sitting without a jury. Any appeal from a judgment in a proceeding before a magistrate judge shall be taken directly to the United States court of appeals. The option to proceed before a magistrate judge is available to the parties at the time an action is commenced. The court may refuse to approve consent if it appears to be motivated by an effort to delay the proceeding.

18. **SANCTIONS.** As provided for under the local rules of practices of this court, the court will consider the imposition of sanctions against any attorney who: (1) fails to timely file trial briefs, suggested voir dire questions and proposed jury instructions or proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, whichever is applicable, as prescribed by the pretrial order, order regarding pretrial procedure, scheduling order or any order extending the time for such filings; (2) fails to comply with the provision of this order including, but not limited to, the failure to appear for calendar call without first having been excused by the court or the clerk with the permission of the court; or (3) fails too timely comply with any other order that schedule deadlines for trial preparation.

19. **CONTACT PERSON**. All questions and information regarding the trial calendar are to be directed to **DAVID OAKES**, Courtroom Deputy Clerk, at **702-464-5413**.

20. The date of the clerk's file mark shall constitute the date of this order. IT IS SO ORDERED.

JAMES C. MAHAN United States District Judge

Queus C. Mahan

PRESENT THE HONORABLE

JAMES C. MAHAN

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Joy Garner

David Oakes

Courtroom Administrator Reporter / Recorder

Monday, August 3, 2009

09:00AM

2:09-cr-00044-JCM-RJJ

Jury Trial

Nicholas D Dickinson - 702-388-6336

FOR: USA (Plaintiff)

USA V CARDANAS

Michael Ryan Pandullo - (702) 474-4222

FOR: Claudio Cesar Penunuri (Defendant)

Federal Custody

(0) CMP COUNT 1 - 18:922(a)(1)(a), 923 (a), & 924(a)(1)(D) -

Dealing in Firearms without a license.

(1) 18:922A.F 18:922 (a)(1)(a), 923(a), and 924(a)(1)(D) - Dealing

with Firearms Without a License

PRESENT THE HONORABLE

JAMES C. MAHAN

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

David Oakes

Joy Garner

Courtroom Administrator Reporter / Recorder

Monday, August 3, 2009

09:00AM

2:09-cr-00077-JCM-PAL

USA V LINDSEY

Jury Trial

Eric, Johnson - 702-388-6336

FOR: USA (Plaintiff)

Richard, Frankoff - (702) 388-6577

FOR: Jan Alan Lindsey (Defendant)

Federal Custody

(1) 26:7201.F 26:7201 - Evasion of Assessment of Tax for the years 1999 - 2002

(2) 26:7201.F 26:7201 - Evasion of Assessment of Tax for the year 2003

(3) 26:7201.F $\,$ 26:7201 - Evasion of Assessment of Tax for the year $\,$ 2004

(4) 26:7201.F $\,$ 26:7201 - Evasion of Assessment of Tax for the year $\,$ 2005

(5) 26:7201.F $\,$ 26:7201 - Evasion of Assessment of Tax for the year $\,$ 2006

PRESENT THE HONORABLE

JAMES C. MAHAN

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

David Oakes Joy Garner

Courtroom Administrator Reporter / Recorder

Monday, August 3, 2009

09:00AM

2:09-cr-00086-JCM-PAL USA V MURO-LERMA

Jury Trial

Robert A Bork Richard F Boulware - 702-388-6577

FOR: USA (Plaintiff) FOR: Jose Luis Muro-Lerma (Defendant)

Federal Custody

(1) 8:1326.F 8:1326 - Deported Alien Found Unlawfully in the

United States

PRESENT THE HONORABLE

JAMES C. MAHAN

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

David Oakes Joy Garner

Courtroom Administrator Reporter / Recorder

Monday, August 3, 2009

09:00AM

2:09-cr-00091-JCM-LRL

Jury Trial

Amber M. Craig - 702-388-6336

FOR: USA (Plaintiff)

David C Schubert - 702-671-2573

FOR: USA (Plaintiff)

USA V SANTIESTEBAN-RODRIGUEZ

Domingo R. Quintero - (619) 234-6504

FOR: Domingo, Santiesteban-Rodriguez (Defendant)

Federal Custody

(0) CMP 21:841(a)(1),(B)(1)(A)(viii) - POSSESSION WITH INTENT

TO DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

(1) 21:841A=CD.F 21:841 POSSESS WITH THE INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE, 500 GRAMS AND MORE OF A MIXTURE AND

SUBSTANCE CONTAINING DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF

METHAMPHETAMINE

Liborius I. Agwara - 702-385-4800

FOR: Domingo, Santiesteban-Rodriguez (Defendant)

Federal Custody

(0) CMP 21:841(a)(1),(B)(1)(A)(viii) - POSSESSION WITH INTENT

TO DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

(1) 21:841A=CD.F 21:841 POSSESS WITH THE INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE, 500 GRAMS AND MORE OF A MIXTURE AND

SUBSTANCE CONTAINING DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF

METHAMPHETAMINE

PRESENT THE HONORABLE

JAMES C. MAHAN

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

David Oakes Joy Garner

Courtroom Administrator Reporter / Recorder

Monday, August 3, 2009

09:00AM

2:09-cr-00103-JCM-GWF USA V RAMIREZ-TURCIOS

Jury Trial

Robert A Bork Paul, Riddle - 702-388-6577

FOR: USA (Plaintiff) FOR: Ricardo Amilcar Ramirez-Turcios (Defendant)

Federal Custody

(1) 8:1326.F 8:1326 - Deported Alien Found Unlawfully in the

United States

PRESENT THE HONORABLE

JAMES C. MAHAN

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Joy Garner

David Oakes

Courtroom Administrator Reporter / Recorder

Monday, August 3, 2009

09:00AM

3:05-cv-00078-JCM-RAM

LOUIS D. COSCO VS DEBI LIGHTSEY, ET AL.,

Jury Trial

William J Geddes - (775) 684-1256

FOR: Louis D., Cosco (Plaintiff)

FOR: Debi, Lightsey (Defendant)

.22

CRIMINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-000-

CR-S

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence (and the arguments of the lawyers), it is my duty to instruct you on the law which applies in this case.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you must apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I gave it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all equally important. And you must not read into these instructions, or into anything I may have said or done, any suggestion as to what verdict you should return -- that is a matter entirely up to you.

The indictment is not evidence. It is simply the description of the charges made by the government against the defendant. You are here to determine from the evidence whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You are not called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt of any other person or persons. The defendant is not on trial for any act or conduct not alleged in the indictment.

The law presumes a defendant to be innocent of crime. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whatever may be drawn from the election of a defendant no to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so you must acquit him.

The punishment provided by law for this crime is for the court to decide. You may not consider punishment in deciding whether the government has proved its case against the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt.

I have told you that the government must prove a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and may arise from a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from lack of evidence. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced that the defendant is guilty. It is not, however, proof beyond all possible doubt.

If after a careful and impartial consideration with your fellow jurors of all the evidence, you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if after a careful and impartial consideration with your fellow jurors of all the evidence, you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant guilty.

The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of (1) the sworn testimony of witnesses, both on direct and cross-examination, regardless of who called the witnesses; (2) the exhibits which have been received into evidence; and (3) any facts to which all the lawyers have agreed or stipulated.

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eye witness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of facts from which you could find that another fact exists, even though it has not been proved directly. You are entitled to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

It is for you to decide whether a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence. In making that decision, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, common sense and experience.

In reaching your verdict you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you.

- 1. Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times, is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- 2. Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.
- 3. Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

III

III

When you retire, you should elect one member of the jury as your foreperson. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so.

Your verdict, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law as I have given it to you in these instructions. However, nothing that I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be -- that is entirely for you to decide.

The arguments and statements of the attorneys are not evidence. If you remember the facts differently from the way the attorneys have stated them, you should base your decision on what you remember.

After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your foreperson will fill in the forms that will be given to you, sign and date them and advise the marshal or bailiff outside your door that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the marshal or bailiff, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing, and I will communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case only in writing, or orally here in open court. Remember that you are not to tell anyone — including me — how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of the guilt of the defendant, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

1,1

CTVII TIDV INCTRICTION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. CV-

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence and arguments of the lawyers, it is my duty to instruct you on the law which applies to this case.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you must apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you and according to the law. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all equally important. And you must not read into these instructions or into anything I may have said or done any suggestion as to what verdict you should return—that is a matter entirely for you to decide.

INSTRUCTION NO.

At the beginning of the case, I told you that the party asserting a claim has the burden of proving that claim by a preponderance of the evidence. That means that a party asserting a claim, has to produce evidence which, considered in the light of all of the facts, leads you to believe that what the party claims is more likely true than not. To put it differently, if you were to put each party's evidence on opposite sides of the scales, the party asserting a claim would have to make the scales tip slightly on that side. If the party asserting a claim fails to meet this burden, the verdict must be for the defending party.

Those of you who have sat on criminal cases will have heard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. That is a stricter standard, i.e., it requires more proof than a preponderance of evidence. The reasonable doubt standard does not apply to a civil case and you should therefore put it out of your mind.

The plaintiff has the burden of proof as to the elements of his case by a preponderance of the evidence:

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

` 25

The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of (1) the sworn testimony of witnesses, regardless of which side called them, (2) the exhibits which have been received into evidence; and (3) any facts to which all the lawyers have agreed or stipulated.

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

There are two kinds of evidence; direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of facts from which you could find that another fact exists, even though it has not been proved directly. You are entitled to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

It is for you to decide whether a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence. In making that decision, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, common sense and experience.

INSTRUCTION NO. 4

.23

Although you are to consider only the evidence in this case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

In deciding what the facts are, you must consider all the evidence. In doing this, you must decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may disbelieve all or any part of any witness's testimony. In making that decision, you may take into account a number of factors including the following:

- 1. Was the witness able to see, or hear, or know the things about which that witness testified?
 - 2. How well was the witness able to recall and describe those things?
 - 3. What was the witness's manner while testifying?
- 4. Did the witness have an interest in the outcome of this case or any bias or prejudice concerning any party or any matter involved in the case?
- 5. How reasonable was the witness's testimony considered in light of all the evidence in the case?
- 6. Was the witness's testimony contradicted by what that witness has said or done at another time, or by the testimony of other witnesses, or by other evidence?
- 7. If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of this testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes forget things. You need to consider therefore whether a contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or and intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or with only a small detail.

These are some of the factors you may consider in deciding whether to believe testimony.

. 24

The weight of the evidence presented by each side does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses testifying on one side or the other. You must consider all the evidence in the case, and you may decide that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side has greater weight than that of a larger number on the other.

All of these are matters for you to consider in finding facts.

INSTRUCTION NO.

. 26

- 1. Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- 2. Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.
- 3. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some testimony and exhibits have been received only for a limited purpose; where I have given a limiting instruction, you must follow it.
- 4. Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence.
 You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

INSTRUCTION NO. 7

1.7

EXHIBIT LIST

Case No.			Page of page:		
			vs		
Exhibits o	n behalf o	f:			
DATE DATE MARKED		NO.	NTIFICATION WITNESS	DESCRIPTION	
	•	•			
				·	
•	•				
				•	
		•			·

Sample of

Plaintiff's

Exhibit

Sample of

Defendants

Exhibit